

### **REMARKS**

Claims 17-26 are allowed. Claims 15 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 11 is amended herein to incorporate all the limitations of claim 15, thereby putting the subject matter of claim 15 from the previous amendment of November 21, 2007, in independent form and in form for allowance. Claim 15 is canceled herein.

Claim 16 is amended herein to change its dependency from claim 15, which has been canceled, to claim 11, which is equivalent to claim 15 of the previous amendment of November 21, 2007. Thus, claim 16 is in proper form for allowance.

As previously discussed with Applicant's Attorney M. Zev Levoritz on August 21, 2008 Examiner agreed that claims 12-14 would be allowable if they depended from a claim reciting the subject matter of claim 15 from the previous amendment of November 21, 2007. Claims 12-14 all depend from claim 11 which

as amended herein incorporates all the limitations of claim 15. Therefore, claims 12-14 depend from a base claim containing allowable subject matter. Thus, claims 12-14 are in form for allowance.

The Examiner is invited to contact an Attorney for the Applicants to discuss any issue that may prevent issuance of a notice of allowance for the application.

No fee is believed due. If there is any fee due the USPTO is hereby authorized to charge such fee to Deposit Account No. 10-1250.

In light of the foregoing, the application is now believed to be in proper form for allowance of all claims and notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,  
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP

By Frank J. Jordan  
Frank J. Jordan  
Reg. No. 20,456  
Attorney for Applicants  
and,

By M. Zev Levoritz  
M. Zev Levoritz  
Reg. No. 50,151  
Attorney for Applicants

Jordan and Hamburg LLP  
122 East 42nd Street  
New York, New York 10168  
(212) 986-2340